Stipulation and [Proposed] Order

2007, at 10:00 a.m., and set it for a change of plea hearing. During this time counsel for the government will be unavailable, conducting a trial in Eureka, California that is scheduled to last from June 4, 2007 through June 8, 2007.

The parties further stipulate that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 4, 2007, to and including June 11, 2007, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161. The parties agree that – taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases – good cause exists for this extension. The parties represent that granting the continuance is the reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense and government counsel and effective preparation of defense and government counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

/s/ Derek Owens

DEREK OWENS
Special Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ Gayle Gutekunst

GAYLE GUTEKUNST
ROBERT M. AMPARAN
Attorneys for Enrique Rodriguez

For the reasons stated above, the Court orders the June 4, 2007 hearing for this matter continued to June 11, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel. Also for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time from June 4, 2007, to and including June 11, 2007, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the government and Mr. Rodriguez continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order

	Case 3:07-cr-00328-MHP D	ocument 21	Filed 05/31/2007	Page 3 of 3
1	for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a			
2	miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).			
3	SO ORDERED.			
	SO ORDERED.			
4	DATED:	THE HON	I MADII VALILATI D	ATEL
5	THE HON. MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order			